



# ASME

81-GT-219

THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS  
345 E 47 St., New York, N.Y. 10017

The Society shall not be responsible for statements or opinions advanced in papers or in discussion at meetings of the Society or of its Divisions or Sections, or printed in its publications. Discussion is printed only if the paper is published in an ASME Journal or Proceedings. Released for general publication upon presentation. Full credit should be given to ASME, the Technical Division, and the author(s).

**E. J. Gunter**

Professor  
Department of Mechanical and  
Aerospace Engineering,  
University of Virginia,  
Charlottesville, Va.

**D. F. Li**

Associate Senior Research Engineer  
General Motors Research Laboratories,  
Warren, Mich.

**L. E. Barrett**

Research Assistant Professor  
Department of Mechanical and  
Aerospace Engineering,  
University of Virginia,  
Charlottesville, Va.

## Unbalance Response of a Two Spool Gas Turbine Engine with Squeeze Film Bearings

*This paper presents a dynamic analysis of a two-spool gas turbine helicopter engine incorporating intershaft rolling element bearings between the gas generator and power turbine rotors. The analysis includes the nonlinear effects of a squeeze film bearing incorporated on the gas generator rotor. The analysis includes critical speeds and forced response of the system and indicates that substantial dynamic loads may be imposed on the intershaft bearings and main bearing supports with an improperly designed squeeze film bearing. A comparison of theoretical and experimental gas generator rotor response is presented illustrating the nonlinear characteristics of the squeeze film bearing. It was found that large intershaft bearing forces may occur even though the engine is not operating at a resonant condition.*

### INTRODUCTION

The requirement of high specific power output for gas turbine aircraft engines has resulted in highly flexible rotor designs. These rotors typically operate above several critical speeds. The use of rolling element bearings, with low inherent damping, makes it difficult to reduce vibrational amplitudes and dynamic loads transmitted to the rotor supporting structure. Operation over a wide range of speed and power levels aggravates the dynamic problems, and under some conditions, i.e. locked rotor starts, a bowed rotor may result due to nonuniform thermal distributions.

The low levels of rolling element bearing damping and large strain energy levels in modern designs necessitate the use of squeeze film bearings to provide adequate damping to maintain low amplitude vibration levels and to reduce the dynamic loads exerted upon the bearings and rotor support structures. The number and location of squeeze film bearings is dictated by the engine configuration and dynamic characteristics (1). In addition, engines may utilize intershaft differential bearings, especially with very flexible rotor designs. The design of squeeze film bearings requires careful attention since an improper design may, in fact, worsen the dynamic response of the system (2).

A schematic of a typical two-spool gas turbine engine used for helicopter application is shown in Fig. 1. The engine consists of an inner core rotor (power turbine), which is supported by main bearings No. 0 and No. 4, located at the shaft extremities. There are two intershaft differential bearings con-

necting the power turbine to the gas generator rotor. The gas generator rotor consists of a two-stage turbine which drives an axial compressor. The gas generator rotor is supported principally by the Nos. 1, 2, and 3 bearings.

The No. 1 bearing is an elliptical roller bearing mounted in a thermal gradient housing. The No. 2 bearing is a thrust ball bearing mounted in the compressor rear frame, and the No. 3 or aft bearing is a close clearance roller bearing mounted in an oil film damper support near the gas generator turbine. The No. 0 roller bearing is mounted in the power turbine take off and supports the output turbine spline shaft. The No. 4 bearing is located in the exhaust frame and is a thrust ball bearing that carries the weight of the power turbine. The first or forward intershaft bearing is an elliptic differential bearing mounted between the power turbine shaft and the compressor No. 1 bearing. The second or aft intershaft bearing is mounted forward of the No. 3 bearing.

The gas generator rotor speed range is from 10,500 RPM to 18,230 RPM, and the power turbine speed is from 0 to 17,000 RPM. Typical continuous power turbine operating speed is 14,000 RPM.

The analysis presented herein includes both flexible rotors, the effects of the intershaft bearings, and the bearings connecting the rotors to the engine case. The case is considered rigid, although casing flexibility may be an important effect in certain applications.

### CRITICAL SPEED ANALYSIS

The preliminary analysis of any rotor-bearing system usually includes the determination of the undamped critical speeds and associated mode shapes of the system. These results provide the basis for refining the system model and anticipating locations where squeeze film bearings may be potentially applied, i.e., locations where significant motion of the squeeze film bearing journal will occur to produce the required damping forces. The critical speed analysis utilized

the transfer matrix method (3), (4).

**Critical Speeds with Intershaft Bearings**

Figures 2-4 illustrate the first three critical speed mode shapes of the two-spool engine of Fig. 1, including the stiffness of the intershaft bearings. For this analysis the gas generator rotor was assumed to be operating at 15,000 RPM and the critical speeds were calculated assuming synchronous motion of the power turbine.




Fig. 1 Schematic of Two-Spool Gas Turbine Helicopter Engine with Computer Model.




Fig. 2 First Engine Undamped Critical Speed with Aft Intershaft Differential Bearing




Fig. 3 Second Engine Undamped Critical Speed with Aft Intershaft Differential Bearing




Fig. 4 Third Engine Undamped Critical Speed with Aft Intershaft Differential Bearing




Fig. 5 First Engine Undamped Critical Speed without Aft Intershaft Differential Bearing

Figure 2 shows that the first critical speed at 6,936 RPM is predominantly a bending mode of the power turbine. The largest amplitude occurs at the power turbine location. It can be observed that a squeeze film bearing located at the No. 4 bearing (K12) would be extremely effective in damping out this particular mode.

A slight deflection of the gas generator rotor is observed for this mode due to the forces transmitted through the aft intershaft differential bearing (KC2). A squeeze film bearing employed at the gas generator No. 3 bearing support (K24) will not effectively suppress this mode. Since there is little motion at the aft intershaft differential bearing (KC2) at this mode, unbalance at the gas generator location should cause relatively little excitation of the power turbine first mode. This mode will be primarily influenced by unbalance at the power turbine stages. If the power turbine unbalance is excessive, then tip rubs of the power turbine blades could occur.

Figure 3 illustrates the second system critical speed mode shape. This mode at 12,555 RPM represents the first gas generator mode with the motion primarily occurring in the gas generator rotor turbine section. The aft intershaft differential bearing (KC2) connecting the gas generator and the power turbine causes a considerable deflection of the power turbine shaft. It appears possible that should the power turbine shaft become thermally bowed, it may excite this mode. Substantial loads would be transmitted through the aft intershaft differential bearing. Since the motion at the No. 4 bearing (K12) is small, a squeeze film bearing at this location would be relatively ineffective in suppressing the power turbine motion due to excitation from the gas generator. A squeeze film bearing located behind the No. 3 bearing (K24) should be quite effective in suppressing this mode if properly designed. The actual bearing loads transmitted through the various bearings will be examined in a subsequent section.

The third system critical speed of the gas turbine is predicted to be 20,792 RPM. The mode shape is shown in Fig. 4. This critical is above the operating speed range of both the gas generator rotor or the turbine. One interesting observation from this mode is that the aft intershaft differential bearing causes considerable deflection of the power turbine shaft. This mode would be particularly excited if there were a residual bow in the power turbine shaft. Such a bow might be produced by thermal gradients. Although this critical speed is predicted to be over 20,000 RPM, large differential bearing forces may be developed at speeds below this critical speed due to the shaft deflection caused by the intershaft differential bearing. The relatively small motion that occurs at the gas generator No. 3 bearing suggests that a squeeze film bearing there would be relatively ineffective.

#### Critical Speeds Without Aft Intershaft Differential Bearing

Under certain operating conditions, such as a locked power turbine rotor start, excessive wear and degradation have occurred in the aft intershaft differential bearing. The critical speeds and mode shapes for the system were also calculated assuming negligible aft intershaft differential bearing stiffness. The first critical speed of the system without the aft intershaft is approximately 4,945 RPM, a reduction of nearly 2,000 RPM. Figure 5 shows that this mode shape has changed considerably from the

original first critical speed as shown in Fig. 2. The highest amplitude of motion with this mode does not occur at the power turbine but near the aft intershaft differential bearing and the internal seals. It appears likely that this mode could be excited by a bow in the power turbine rotor. The relative motion at the forward intershaft differential bearing is small and there is little motion of gas generator rotor as this is primarily a power turbine rotor mode.

The second mode without the aft intershaft differential bearing is shown in Fig. 6. The critical speed for this mode is approximately 11,500 RPM. This mode is also primarily a power turbine rotor mode and is well within the normal operating speed range of the power turbine rotor and would be easily excited by power turbine unbalance or bow of the power turbine rotor. Sustained operation at this mode could result in the power turbine rubbing on the gas generator rotor. Experimental testing of this engine configuration without the aft intershaft differential bearing showed evidence of rubbing at the center of the power turbine rotor. It is evident from Figs. 5 and 6 that a squeeze film bearing mounted at the gas generator rotor No. 3 bearing support would be ineffective in suppressing either of the first two engine modes with a failed or eliminated aft intershaft differential bearing.

The third engine critical speed is approximately 12,500 RPM, and the mode shape is shown in Fig. 7. This mode is essentially the same as the second mode with full aft intershaft differential bearing stiffness and is primarily a gas generator rotor mode. The relatively large motion that occurs at the gas generator No. 3 bearing implies that a squeeze film bearing at this location would be effective in suppressing this mode.

Because the rotors in this engine are quite flexible, the intershaft differential bearings are essential components to ensure that rubbing between the two rotors does not occur. The aft intershaft differential bearing plays an important role in determining the second power turbine rotor critical speed. Loss of stiffness in this bearing results in a reduction of the power turbine rotor second critical speed which then occurs within the normal engine operating speed range. It is also apparent from the critical speed mode shapes that a single squeeze film bearing may not provide adequate damping capability for all engine modes within the engine operating speed range.

#### GAS TURBINE ENGINE UNBALANCE RESPONSE

Although the undamped critical speeds and mode shapes presented in the previous section provide useful design information, they do not provide quantitative information on the vibration amplitudes, bearing forces and effects of damping with unbalance forces acting on the system. This section describes the results of an unbalance response analysis of the engine, including both linear and nonlinear squeeze film bearing forces. The transfer matrix method was also used to generate the results (4), (5).

#### Response with Power Turbine Unbalance

For this portion of the analysis, the gas generator rotor was considered balanced and operating at 15,000 RPM ( $N_2$ ). Out of phase unbalances of 10 gm-in. were assumed at the first stage power turbine and center of the power turbine rotor. This unbalance distribution was assumed to be representative of a small bow in the power turbine rotor.

A squeeze film bearing was assumed to be located at the gas generator rotor No. 3 bearing location.




Fig. 6 Second Engine Undamped Critical Speed without Aft Intershaft Differential Bearing




Fig. 7 Third Engine Undamped Critical Speed without Aft Intershaft Differential Bearing

Linear squeeze film damping and support diaphragm stiffness coefficients were assumed. The forces applied to the No. 3 bearing support are shown in Fig. 8 as a function of power turbine rotor speed. With the gas generator rotor speed constant, this response is representative of a locked-rotor start. Full aft intershaft differential bearing stiffness was included.

In the first case, a diaphragm stiffness of  $K_d = 400,000$  lb/in. and squeeze film damping of  $C_g = 2$  lb-sec/in. was assumed. This value of diaphragm stiffness corresponds to the original design value for the engine. Figure 8 shows that the unbalance in the power turbine causes an excitation of the first power turbine critical speed at 7,000 RPM which generates a force at the No. 3 bearing of approximately 300 lb. As the power turbine speed is increased, a very large resonance peak occurs at 12,000 RPM, resulting in a peak force of 2,650 lb. In the actual testing of this engine, cracking of the gas generator diaphragm has occurred along with seal rubs on the gas generator turbine. Both of these effects could be initiated by a bowed power turbine shaft during a locked rotor start since the analysis also revealed that an amplitude of 12 mils at the gas generator rotor turbine section

would occur.

If the squeeze film bearing is assumed to develop a damping coefficient of  $C_g = 20$  lb-sec/in., the peak bearing force is only reduced from 2,650 lb to 1,000 lb. Because of the high stiffness of the diaphragm support, the squeeze film damper is not at optimum effectiveness.

If the effective diaphragm stiffness is reduced from  $K_d = 400,000$  lb/in. to  $K_d = 123,000$  lb/in., Fig. 8 shows that the gas generator rotor resonance is reduced to 8,800 RPM and that the force in the No. 3 bearing is also reduced to less than 400 lb with a squeeze film bearing damping of 20 lb-sec/in. To avoid cracking of the No. 3 bearing support, the effective diaphragm stiffness should be reduced. Intuitively, support stiffening might be considered a remedy for diaphragm failure. However, this would result in even larger forces being transmitted.

Regardless of the diaphragm support stiffness, it is seen that as the power turbine rotor speed approaches the second power turbine rotor critical speed of 20,000 RPM, extremely high bearing loads are predicted. The maximum power turbine speed is not intended to exceed 18,000 RPM. Another interesting effect that is observed on the gas generator rotor is that at 17,400 RPM the system is tuned such that practically no bearing forces are transmitted. Hence at this speed, even with large power turbine unbalance values, little motion would be observed on the gas generator.




Fig. 8 Forces in No. 3 Bearing Due to Power Turbine Unbalance

An additional problem encountered with this engine is failure of the aft intershaft differential bearing. Failure rates of this bearing are functions of the type of engine service and appear to be related to the number and severity of locked-rotor starts.

Figure 9 represents the life of the differential bearings as a function of bearing loading. For example, with a loading of 235 lb on the aft intershaft differential bearing, the design L10 life is 10,000 hours. If the loading should increase to 660 lb, there is a dramatic drop in the predicted life from 10,000 hours to only 500 hours, using the relationship that predicted bearing life is inversely proportional to the cube of the load.

Figure 10 represents the forces transmitted to the aft intershaft bearing and the No. 4 bearing support; the turbine stages as a function of power turbine speed. As expected, large bearing forces are transmitted at the first power turbine rotor critical speed of 7,000




Fig. 9 Inter shaft Differential Bearing Life as a Function of Bearing Load




Fig. 10 Aft Inter shaft Differential Bearing Load Due to Power Turbine

RPM. The No. 4 bearing carries the largest load (over 700 lb) and almost 400 lb is transmitted through the aft differential bearing. If the transition through this speed is rapid, the life of the bearings should not be greatly reduced since this speed is well below the idle speed of the engine. However, it is observed that above 10,000 RPM the loading on the No. 4 bearing decreases, while the loading on the aft inter shaft differential bearing increases.

Superposed in Fig. 10 are the load lines representing the aft inter shaft differential bearing life of 10,000 hours and 500 hours. Continuous operation below 14,000 RPM would not reduce the life of the aft inter shaft differential bearing, whereas a severe reduction in life would occur with continuous operation near the maximum power turbine rotor speed of 17,400 RPM. The aft inter shaft differential bearing carries more load than the main No. 4 bearing for power turbine rotor speeds above 8,000 RPM. Furthermore, substantial loads can be imposed upon the aft inter shaft differential bearing at speeds well below the second power turbine rotor critical speed. Although skidding damage was observed on many aft inter shaft differential bearings, it is apparent that anti-kid designs, such as elliptical bearings, will not reduce the large bearing forces. These forces are an inherent dynamics problem.




Fig. 11 Power Turbine Amplitude Due to Power Turbine Unbalance




Fig. 12 Power Turbine Midspan Amplitude Due to Power Turbine Unbalance

Figure 11 illustrates the amplitude of the power turbine as a function of power turbine rotor speed for various values of the gas generator rotor No. 3 bearing stiffness and damping coefficients. With the stiffness of the No. 3 bearing support diaphragm  $K_3 = 400,000$  lb/in., the peak amplitude of the first power turbine stage is approximately 10 mils at 7,000 RPM. Very little amplitude change occurs with No. 3 squeeze film bearing damping coefficients of 2-20 lb-sec/in. If the diaphragm stiffness is reduced to  $K_3 = 123,000$  lb/in., the peak first power turbine stage response is 7 mils at approximately 6,700 RPM. Both peak responses are well below the normal power turbine rotor operating speed range. For power turbine rotor speeds between 8,000 and 20,000 RPM, the first stage power turbine response is low, independent of the gas generator rotor No. 3 bearing stiffness and damping coefficients. A large response also occurs at the second power turbine rotor critical speed (20,200 RPM) and is relatively unaffected by the No. 3 bearing characteristics, as predicted by the critical speed analysis of Fig. 4. The relative amplitude between the power turbine and gas generator rotors near the power turbine rotor midspan is shown in Fig. 12. A peak relative motion occurs when the speed of the power turbine coincides with the gas generator rotor first critical speed as well as the first power turbine rotor critical speed. These peak relative responses are substantially lower with reduced No. 3 bearing diaphragm stiffness.

At power turbine rotor speeds above 14,000 RPM




Fig. 13 No. 3 Bearing Forces Due to Gas Generator Turbine Unbalance




Fig. 15 Aft Intershaft Differential Bearing Load Due to Gas Generator Turbine Unbalance




Fig. 14 Gas Generator Turbine Amplitude Due to Gas Generator Turbine Unbalance

TABLE I

Bearing Loads Due to Gyroscopic Moments Induced by Helicopter Yaw Motion

STATION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	EFFECTS DUE TO GAS GENERATOR CYROSCOPIC FORCES		EFFECTS DUE TO POWER TURBINE CYROSCOPIC FORCES	
		AMPLITUDE in $10^{-3}$	FORCE lb.	AMPLITUDE in $10^{-3}$	FORCE lb.
3	Pod Bearing	—	404	—	16
13	Aft Bearing	—	347	—	669
15	C. C. #3 Brg.	—	1163	—	66
21	P. T. #4 Brg.	—	40	—	138
18	Gas Generator Turbine	3.38	—	1.24	—
19	Power Turbine	0.16	—	2.19	—
18	Turbine Seal	1.69	—	4.4	—

Yaw Rate = 0.0033 RPS,  $N_p = 17,400$  RPM,  $N_g = 17,400$  RPM

the relative amplitude increases reaching a very large value at the second power turbine rotor critical speed. This could result in wear to the hot gas seal between the power turbine and gas generator rotors allowing hot gas leakage into the aft intershaft differential bearing which could further reduce the life of this bearing. Uneven hot gas leakage could also induce a thermal bow of the power turbine rotor.

#### Gas Generator Rotor Unbalance

Figures 13-15 illustrate the effects of unbalance at the gas generator rotor turbine section. The power turbine rotor is assumed balanced and operating at 15,000 RPM. The forces transmitted to the gas generator rotor No. 3 bearing are shown in Fig. 13. With high No. 3 bearing diaphragm stiffness, large forces are transmitted at the gas generator rotor critical speed at 12,200 RPM, even with a damping coefficient of 21 lb-sec/in. at the No. 3 bearing. With the diaphragm stiffness reduced to 123,000 lb/in., the No. 3 bearing forces are reduced by approximately 60% at the gas generator rotor resonant speed of 8,600 RPM. Very little excitation of the power turbine rotor occurs with gas generator rotor unbalance. The vibration amplitudes at the gas generator rotor turbine section are shown in Fig. 14. These amplitudes are also lowered when the No. 3 bearing diaphragm stiffness is reduced from 400,000 to 123,000 lb/in.

The forces on the aft intershaft differential bearing for these conditions are shown in Fig. 15. These forces are somewhat increased at gas generator rotor speeds below 11,000 RPM when the No. 3 bearing

diaphragm stiffness is reduced to 123,000 lb/in. However the overall force levels are below 100 lb in this speed range. When the diaphragm stiffness is 400,000 lb/in., a greater force on the aft intershaft differential bearing occurs at the power turbine rotor first critical speed rather than at the gas generator rotor resonance. This effect is reversed when the diaphragm stiffness is reduced to 123,000 lb/in.


Regardless of the stiffness and damping at the No. 3 bearing, large forces will occur in the aft intershaft differential bearing when the second power turbine rotor resonance at 20,200 RPM is excited. However, for gas generator rotor speeds below 19,000 RPM, the force will not exceed 100 lb.

Additional forces will also be transmitted to the engine bearings and seals due to gyroscopic effects of aircraft maneuvers. To determine these loads, unbalance couples were placed at both the turbine sections of both the gas generator and power turbine rotors. These unbalances were selected to simulate the gyroscopic moments that would be created by a yaw maneuver of 0.2 RPS. The results are summarized in Table I. The largest forces occur at the No. 3 and aft intershaft bearing locations. The load on the aft intershaft differential bearing of 669 lb due to power turbine rotor gyroscopics is very close to the load for an L10 life of 500 hours for that bearing. The amplitudes of vibration at the turbine sections are relatively small.


The unbalance response studies indicate that the design of the No. 3 bearing on the gas generator rotor strongly affects the engine response and aft intershaft

HORIZONTAL SQUEEZE FILM BEARING  
CAVITATED FILM

W = 73.7 LBS H = 16800 RPM  
L = 0.450 IN R = 2.55 IN  
FU = 1181.91 LBS MU = 0.382 MICROREYMS  
VE = 0 LBS VT = 0 LBS



C = 4.00 MILS	EMU = 0.50	C = 4.00 MILS	EMU = 0.50
PS = 0 PSI	FMAX = 7331.9 LBS	PS = 0 PSI	FMAX = 7405 LBS
ERX = 123000 LB/IN	EY = 123000 LB/IN	ERX = 0 LB/IN	EY = 0 LB/IN
TRD = 6.20	FMAX = 12510 PSI	TRD = 6.27	FMAX = 13688 PSI




C = 4.00 MILS	EMU = 0.33	C = 4.00 MILS	EMU = 0.10
PS = 0 PSI	FMAX = 8433 LBS	PS = 400 PSI	FMAX = 7273 LBS
ERX = 123000 LB/IN	EY = 123000 LB/IN	ERX = 123000 LB/IN	EY = 123000 LB/IN
TRD = 7.14	FMAX = 43372 PSI	TRD = 6.13	FMAX = 24453 PSI


Fig. 16 Transient Motion of No. 3 Bearing Squeeze Film Bearing, L = 0.45 in.

HORIZONTAL SQUEEZE FILM BEARING  
CAVITATED FILM

W = 73.7 LBS H = 16,800 RPM  
L = 0.900 IN R = 2.55 IN  
C = 4.00 MILS MU = 0.382 MICROREYMS  
VE = 0 LBS VT = 0 LBS



PS = 0 PSI	EMU = 0.25	PS = 0 PSI	EMU = 0.25
FU = 591 LBS	FMAX = 385 LBS	FU = 591 LBS	FMAX = 478 LBS
ERX = 123,000 LB/IN	EY = 123,000 LB/IN	ERX = 0 LB/IN	EY = 0 LB/IN
TRD = 0.65	FMAX = 46 PSI	TRD = 0.80	FMAX = 52 PSI



PS = 0 PSI	EMU = 0.50	PS = 0	EMU = 0.40
FU = 1,182 LBS	FMAX = 3,442 LBS	FU = 1,177	FMAX = 3,372 LBS
ERX = 123,000 LB/IN	EY = 123,000 LB/IN	ERX = 0	EY = 0 LB/IN
TRD = 3.08	FMAX = 3,375 PSI	TRD = 2.86	FMAX = 3,829 PSI

Fig. 17 Transient Motion of No. 3 Bearing Squeeze Film Bearing, L = 0.90 in.

differential bearing forces. A squeeze film bearing applied at that location must be carefully designed to insure adequate engine performance.

NONLINEAR RESPONSE WITH SQUEEZE FILM BEARING

Because of the high bearing loads that can be transmitted through the No. 3 bearing and the supporting structure due to gas generator turbine unbalance, a squeeze film damper was incorporated behind the No. 3 bearing. The squeeze film damper consists of an 0.004 in. radial clearance space around the outer race of the No. 3 bearing with oil supplied to the annular region. In the original design, a retainer spring connecting the outer race of the bearing to the supporting diaphragm structure was not used. Unbalance in the gas generator turbine will cause a precessive journal motion in the oil film which will in turn generate a hydrodynamic force in the oil film, producing an equivalent stiffness and damping effect. The forces and motion of the system are highly complex and are functions of both the static and dynamic journal loading. The oil film was modeled using the short bearing approximation to Reynolds equation (6) and was considered incompressible and isoviscous.

In its original configuration, the squeeze film bearing was 0.45 in. in length, with journal radius of 2.55 in. The oil viscosity was assumed to be  $3.82 \times 10^{-6}$  lb-s/in.<sup>2</sup> Figure 16 illustrates the nonlinear limit cycles for the original bearing with the radial clearance ranging from 0.004 to 0.006 in. The dynamic unbalance loading is 66 gm-in. The unbalance parameter EMU is defined as  $e_u/c$ , where  $e_u$  is the equivalent mass eccentricity required to produce a given

unbalance magnitude. The gas generator rotor speed was taken to be 16,800 RPM.

In Figs. 16a and 16b the effect of removing the journal preload centering or retainer spring is shown. In both cases the bearing is dynamically overloaded and the journal orbit nearly fills the available clearance. The dynamic force transmitted to the bearing and support structure is approximately 6.2 times the dynamic unbalance force in each case (TRD = 6.2). In Fig. 16c, the radial clearance has been increased to 0.006 in., thus reducing EMU. The dynamic force transmissibility increased to TRD = 7.14. Thus, a dynamic load of 8,433 lb is transmitted to the bearing housing. In Fig. 16d, the oil supply pressure was increased to 400 psi above the assumed fluid cavitation pressure to reduce the radial hydrodynamic forces on the squeeze bearing journal. The effects are similar to the previous cases. The large amplitude limit cycle orbits of Fig. 16 are indicative of a dynamically overloaded system.

To increase the dynamic load capacity of the squeeze film bearing, the bearing length was assumed doubled to 0.90 in. The resulting limit cycle orbits are shown in Fig. 17. In each case, the clearance was taken as 0.004 in. and the effect of removing the preload or retainer spring was examined. For a dynamic unbalance of 33 gm-in (EMU = 0.25) the limit cycles shown in Figs. 17a and 17b are of relatively small amplitude. The oil film both with and without the retainer spring attenuates the dynamic loading producing TRD < 1.0. With the retainer spring removed, the resulting orbit is further offset from the bearing center, and a slight increase in force transmissibility occurs. With the unbalance doubled to 66 gm-in., the






Fig. 18 Synchronous Squeeze Film Bearing Stiffness and Damping Coefficients,  $L = 0.45$  in.




Fig. 19 Synchronous Squeeze Film Bearing Stiffness and Damping Coefficients,  $L = 0.90$  in.




Fig. 20 Engine Test Data from Engine Casing Showing Nonlinear Jump




Fig. 21 Gas Generator Rotor Bearing Amplitudes with Nonlinear Squeeze Film Bearing Simulation Showing Nonlinear Amplitude Jump

orbits in Figs. 17c and 17d result. The limit cycle orbits are again of large amplitude and the force transmissibility again exceeds unity.

Figures 18 and 19 illustrate the variance in squeeze film bearing equivalent stiffness and damping coefficients for centered circular journal orbits for the bearing geometries previously considered. A cavitated film is assumed to occur. These illustrate the nonlinear effects of increasing orbit amplitude for various bearing clearances. Both stiffness and damping coefficients exhibit nonlinear hardening with increasing orbit amplitude. Such systems possess multiple forced steady state response amplitudes, and if the forcing function is sufficiently large, extreme nonlinear dynamic response may occur.

Figure 20 represents experimental gas turbine engine response with the short ( $L = 0.45$  in.) squeeze film bearing. The response, obtained on the engine casing, illustrates this nonlinear phenomenon. As engine speed increases the amplitude increases nonlinearly and, because of flexibility of the support, undergoes a nonlinear jump to a lower amplitude.

This response was simulated using the nonlinear squeeze film bearing coefficients shown in Fig. 18. The results, shown in Fig. 21, reasonably duplicate

the experimental observations. The various curves represent different gas generator rotor turbine unbalances. The nonlinear region in the vicinity of the gas generator rotor resonance is strongly affected by the unbalance magnitude which, for a specified support stiffness, controls the speed at which the amplitude jumps to a lower value. In general, large nonlinear ranges are indicative of a dynamically overloaded system.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The dynamic response of multi-spool gas turbine aircraft engines is strongly affected by the intershaft differential bearings separating the spools. The engine resonant speeds may be drastically altered by changes in the stiffness of these bearings. Since rolling element bearing life is strongly controlled by the bearing loads, consideration of the dynamic forces transmitted to these bearings must be made. Loss of intershaft bearing stiffness due to centrifugal loads or bearing degradation can cause resonant speeds to occur within the normal engine operating speed range. This could result in substantial increases in bearing



dynamic loading and further reduction in engine performance and operational safety.

The forced response analysis indicates that large intershaft differential bearing dynamic loading can occur even when operational speeds are not coincident with engine resonant speeds. Furthermore, these forces are strongly affected by the location and magnitude of viscous damping mechanisms in the system. It is apparent that with highly flexible rotor systems, viscous damping at a single location will not necessarily suppress resonant response at all resonant speeds.

The use of linear force coefficients to model squeeze film bearing effects provides quantitative information for the preliminary design of squeeze film bearings. The combination of stiffness and damping values which will effectively attenuate engine response can be readily identified. However, because of the nonlinear nature of squeeze film bearing forces, a nonlinear response analysis is valuable in determining whether the required forces will be generated. If the squeeze film bearing is undersized or if the dynamic unbalance forces become excessive, large orbiting of the journal will result, causing extremely large forces to be transmitted through the bearing and support structure. Because of the nonlinear effects, this may occur over a very large speed range. When properly designed for the dynamic conditions which are likely to occur, the squeeze film bearing is capable of substantially reducing the dynamic loads.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. Army Research Office, Contract No. DAG 29-77C-0009, the Department of Energy, Contract No. EF-76-5-2479, and NASA Research Center, Contract No. NSG-3105.

#### REFERENCES

1. Hibner, D. H., Bansal, P. N., and Buono, D. F., "Analytical and Experimental Investigation of the Stability of Intershaft Squeeze Film Bearings - Part 2: Control of Instability," Journal of Mechanical Design, July 1978, pp. 558-562.
2. Gunter, E. J., Barrett, L. E., and Allaire, P. E., "Design of Nonlinear Squeeze-Film Dampers for Aircraft Engines," Journal of Lubrication Technology, Vol. 99, No. 1, January 1977, pp. 57-64.
3. Lund, J. W., "Stability and Damped Critical Speeds for a Flexible Rotor in Fluid-Film Bearings," Journal of Engineering for Industry, May 1974, pp. 509-517.
4. Li, D. F., Dynamic Analysis of Complex Multi-Level Flexible Rotor Systems, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Virginia, August 1978.
5. Lund, J. W. and Orcutt, F. K., "Calculations and Experiments on the Unbalance Response of a Flexible Rotor," Journal of Engineering for Industry, November 1967, pp. 785-796.
6. Barrett, L. E. and Gunter, E. J., "Steady State and Transient Analysis of Squeeze Film Bearing for Rotor Stability," NASA CR-2548, May 1975.